Requirements for final theses, forming of the defence committee, appointment of a reviewer, and for the defence and second defence at the Johan Skytte Institute of Political Studies

The requirements of the Johan Skytte Institute of Political Studies (hereafter: the Institute) for the final theses and defence, including the compilation of the final thesis and the thesis prospectus, guidelines for submission and defence of the final thesis, including guidelines for writing and formatting papers (Annex 1), terms and conditions of the defence of the final thesis online (Annex 2), and the self-check list, will be published on the Institute’s website. Specific requirements of the programmes, including double and joint degree programmes, are specified in study guides.

1. Dates and location of the final defence

1.1. Defence of Bachelors’ (BA) and Masters’ (MA) theses (hereafter: the thesis) will take place twice within the academic year. The defence for the double and joint degree programmes can take place up to three times a year.

1.2. On the suggestion of the programme manager, the Head of the Institute will confirm the dates of defence for the ongoing academic year by November 1st at the latest.

1.3. The location of the defence will be determined by the programme manager.

2. Defence committee

2.1. Based on the suggestions of the Deputy Head of Academic Affairs, the Head of the Institute will confirm the composition of the defence committees within three working dates after the theses submission deadline.
2.2. The defence committee for BA theses has at least two and the defence committee for MA theses at least three members. A person with at least an MA degree may be appointed as a member of the defence committee. Based on the specifics of the curriculum, the defence committee might involve representatives of the Institute, the Faculty of Social Sciences, and representatives from other faculties, if necessary. Practitioners and renowned experts of the field may serve as committee members.

2.3. The chairman of the defence committee can only be a person with a doctoral degree. In general, the chairman of the defence committee is the programme manager.

3. **Supervisor**

3.1. The supervisor of a thesis can either be a lecturer or research fellow, who is officially employed by UT, or a PhD student. The supervisor can also be from outside of UT, in this case, the thesis has to have a co-supervisor from UT.

3.2. The student has the right to receive feedback from the supervisor at agreed upon times and ways.

3.3. The supervisor has the right to abandon supervision and the defender has the right to change the supervisor if it has been approved in written form by the programme manager.

4. **Thesis prospectus**

4.1. The student must choose a supervisor by the deadline set by the programme manager, in cooperation with the supervisor work out the thesis topic and compile a thesis prospectus and a thesis adhering to the requirements.

4.2. The thesis prospectus, with a notification on the selection of the supervisor, will be submitted to the Academic Affairs Specialist or in Moodle, if it has not been agreed otherwise, by November 1st, if the defence takes place in the following spring semester, or by April 1st, if the defence takes place in the following autumn semester.

4.3. The programme manager organises the reviewing of the prospectuses and will
approve or reject them. Upon approval of the prospectus, the student may continue writing their thesis. If necessary, additional improvements for the prospectus and/or a co-supervisor will be suggested. If the prospectus is rejected, the programme manager will set a new deadline for the student to carry out improvements, and will review the prospectus a second time. Should the prospectus be rejected again, the student will be advised to choose a new topic and/or supervisor. The new prospectus can be submitted the following semester.

4.4. If the topic of the final thesis changes, a new thesis prospectus must be submitted. The exact contents of the thesis or the solving of the problem might become more exact during the writing.

4.5. Only theses for which a thesis prospectus was previously submitted and approved will be allowed to proceed for the defence.

5. Submission of the final thesis

5.1. The deadline for submitting the thesis is two weeks prior to the defence at the latest.

5.2. The final thesis, including the non-exclusive license, as well as the supervisor’s approval that the thesis is ready for consideration, must be submitted to the Academic Affairs Specialist electronically (in pdf-format).

6. Defence of the final thesis

6.1. In order to receive a BA- or an MA-degree, the thesis must be defended. The defence will take place publicly and orally in front of the defence committee, unless the Vice Dean for Academic Affairs orders a closed defence.

6.2. On the decision of the programme manager, a pre-defence can be organised prior to the final defence. There are no pre-defences held on the BA-level.

   6.2.1. Pre-defences will take place based on a timetable no later than five weeks before the final defence of the MA thesis.
6.2.2. The pre-defence will be organised by the programme manager, with the approval of the Head of the Institute. This includes the compiling of the defence committee, confirming the timetable and contacting the reviewers. The defence committee comprises of at least three members and the programme manager is generally the head of the committee.

6.2.3. Theses presented to pre-defence must be at least 75% complete, must contain a table of contents, list of abbreviations (if necessary), introduction, main part (separated into chapters), references and appendices.

6.2.4. The pre-defence has the same format as the final defence and the thesis is either accepted or rejected in the process of it. The supervisor must give their approval before a thesis is presented for pre-defence.

6.2.5. The final thesis must be presented to the Institute’s Academic Affairs Specialist electronically (in pdf format) by the deadline specified by the programme manager. By the same deadline, the supervisor can make his or her recommendations on the selection of the opponent.

6.2.6. The thesis submitted for pre-defence must be in accordance with the Institute guidelines for writing and formatting papers.

6.2.7. The pre-defence is not graded. As a result of the pre-defence, the defence committee will make one of the following three choices: thesis is ready for consideration, thesis is ready for considering after correcting mistakes, thesis is not ready for consideration.

6.2.8. The student has the right to ask the committee for reasons of acceptance/rejection and/or suggestions for improvement.

6.3. An MA student is allowed to defend their thesis if they have completed the curriculum, their thesis prospectus has been approved and they have submitted by deadline all of the required documents, as well as their final thesis which adheres to the set requirements. The curriculum is considered completed if all the exams have
been passed before the defence and the results have been published in the Study Information System or forwarded to the Academic Affairs Specialist not later than 2 working days before the final defence.

6.4. If the curriculum holds pre-defences, the student is allowed to defend if they have successfully passed the pre-defence.

6.5. After the submission of the required documents the programme manager will decide whether the thesis will proceed for final defence and will approve the reviewer (in accordance with the supervisor, if necessary).

6.6. If the programme manager is the supervisor of the thesis, another leading lecturer of the curriculum will be involved in the choice of reviewer. In case of difference of opinion, the Deputy Head for the Academic Affairs may ask for the opinion of a third lecturer.

7. **Review**

7.1. The reviewer for a BA thesis will generally be decided by the thesis supervisor in accordance with the programme manager. The reviewer will be contacted by the supervisor. The reviewer of an MA thesis will generally be decided by the programme manager. The reviewer will be contacted by the programme manager.

7.2. The reviewer of a final thesis should have at least an MA-degree or a qualification responding to this level and have competence in the respective scientific field.

7.3. The task of the reviewer is to provide an objective assessment of the final thesis.

7.4. The written review along with a suggestion for a final grade will be submitted to the programme manager and the Academic Affairs Specialist no later than two business days before the defence. The Academic Affairs Specialist forwards the review to the author of the final thesis at least 24 hours before the defence.

7.5. During the defence, the supervisor and the reviewer should be present, unless if they have objective reasons for not being present.
8. **Procedure of the defence**

8.1. The defence procedure comprises usually of the oral presentation of the degree seeker, after which there is a debate between the reviewer, the defence committee and the degree seeker (response to the reviewer, additional questions, questions from the defence committee, closing statements).

8.2. The final thesis will be assessed according to the grading system in force (A-F) based on the accomplishment of objectives and learning outcomes listed in the curriculum.

8.3. While assessing the final thesis, the defence committee will take into account the thesis’ accordance to the assessment criteria, the MA students’ performance during the defence (content and style of the presentation, answering of questions), opinion of the opponent and the supervisor.

8.4. After the MA thesis defence, the committee will have a closed discussion for the assessment of the thesis.

8.5. The opponent will make a suggestion for grading the final thesis. However, the defence committee is not obligated to go by the opinions of the opponent or the supervisor.

8.6. The defence committee will decide based on simple majority, if the votes are equally divided, the opinion of the head of the defence committee will be decisive.

8.7. The grades for the final theses will be announced through the Study Information System not later than one business day after the defence.

8.8. The decision of the defence committee can be contested based on the procedure set out in the University of Tartu Study Regulations.

9. **Publishing of final theses**

9.1. Final theses as well as the multimedia files that form a part of the thesis will be
published electronically in DSpace, the digital archive of the University of Tartu.

9.2. If publishing a final thesis is not possible due to e.g. the proprietary rights belonging to other people, protection of personal data laws, secrets of state or trade or other confidential information, the author can submit a reasoned request for setting restrictions on the publication of the thesis. The author must submit the request with the support documents (i.e. confirmation from the employee about the confidentiality of information) to the own Academic Affairs Specialist not later than 14 days prior the (pre)defence.

9.3. The restrictions will be set by the Vice Dean for Academic Affairs with his order upon suggestion from the Deputy Head for Academic Affairs of the Institute.

10. **Regulations for the repeat defence**

10.1. If, following the final defence, the student receives a negative grade, they are entitled to repeat their defence once.

10.2. Before a repeat defence, the student must improve the existing thesis based on the recommendations of the defence committee and the supervisor, or write a new thesis on another topic. The student is not entitled to a second defence of a thesis if the result of the thesis defence was negative due to academic fraud.

10.3. When the thesis is written on a new topic, all the rules and processes stated above must be followed from the start, including gaining approval from the programme manager for the thesis topic and the selection of the supervisor, as well as having the supervisor approved by the order of the Head of the Institute.

10.4. The repeat defence of the final thesis will take place on the date of the thesis defences set by the order of the Head of the Institute based on the guidelines for the defence of the final theses.
Annex 1 – Guidelines for formatting papers

GUIDELINES FOR WRITING AND FORMATTING PAPERS, including Bachelors’ and Masters’ theses, AT JOHAN SKYTTE INSTITUTE OF POLITICAL STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF TARTU

The following guide contains requirements and suggestions for writing and formatting written papers, including Bachelors’ (BA) and Masters’ (MA) theses, for undergraduate and graduate students of the University of Tartu’s Johan Skytte Institute of Political Studies (hereinafter, the Institute).

This guide is a supplement to the Guidelines for submission and defence of the final thesis, adopted by the Institute Council in March 2016. The aim of this guide is to provide students with an overview of the writing process of written papers and requirements. When writing a paper, a student's assignment is to, to the best of their ability, combine the content of their research with appropriate formatting: the topic must be in accordance with the purpose of studies, problems covered must be clearly delineated, their analysis logical and in-depth. Additionally, students should pay attention to deadlines and formatting guides while writing.

Formatting requirements apply to all written papers, including texts, essays, written assignments, thesis extended research plans, an MA thesis presented for pre-defence, if applicable, and the final version of a thesis.
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1. GENERAL FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS

All written papers are submitted in A4-format, in electronic format or in hard copy. The written papers submitted in the framework of courses can be printed on both sides of the paper if the lecturer has not set any other formatting rules. Lecturers have the right to set their own formatting requirements for papers written in their courses. In this case, the formatting requirements established by the lecturer apply.

All written papers must bear a cover page (cf. Appendix 1). Spacing is 1.5, font is *Times New Roman* and font point size is 12. The thesis requires extra free space in the margins: 3 cm from top and bottom, 2 cm on the right side, 4 cm on the left side. The text must be fully justified. Footnotes use point size 10.

The final thesis of BA and MA level is submitted in electronic format and has to follow the formatting requirements set for the hard copy version.

2. REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTENT AND STRUCTURE OF WRITTEN PAPERS

All written papers, including the thesis, must follow the good practice of formatting, meaning linguistic and stylistic correctness are important. Papers must conform to generally accepted academic practices and ethical requirements. Cases of intellectual theft are dealt with pursuant to the “Procedure for processing cases of academic fraud” in the Faculty of Social Sciences”.

2.1. Language

The language must be **strictly scientific**. Slang, journalistic, populistic, poetic phrases should not be used in a research paper, unless such use of language is the central topic of the research. Additionally, one should avoid (overly) emotional adjectives and adverbs when describing phenomena or processes (e.g. *The economic situation is mysteriously excellent, Vivacious rise in prices*, etc.).

Avoid **excessive use of foreign language**. The meaning of a sentence/paragraph should be translated, not simply specific words from the original text. Keep in mind that sentence structure differs between languages. If there is no adequate equivalent for a term in a foreign language, or if one is not sure of their choice, it is recommended to enclose the original term in brackets [ ] after the translated term. Use of terms and symbols must be consistent throughout the text. It is advisable to prefer the terminology used in earlier sources. If a
previously-used term is considered inadequate, its replacement must be substantiated. Foreign words that do not fit the language morphologically or phonetically must be in italics.

The grammatical **mood and form of writing** must be constant throughout the paper. The author should not overly personalise the paper. It is recommended to use impersonal (e.g. *was researched, was analysed, etc.*) instead of *I, me and we* (e.g. *we researched, I analysed, etc.*). However, it is important that the use of the impersonal does not make the sentence vague. It is recommended to use indicative, because conditional (e.g. *Tried to research, seems to cause, etc.*) may indicate that the author is not certain of their arguments.

2.2. **Abbreviations**

A term must be fully defined before using its abbreviation (e.g. *Gross domestic product (GDP), International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD), etc.*). If an abbreviation has been previously fully defined, it is not necessary to use the full definition again and the abbreviation can be used instead. Abbreviations are generally not used in titles.

2.3. **Writing numbers**

Single-digit numbers are generally written out, double-digit numbers and above are generally represented by their numerical characters, e.g. *two points, eight days, 120 businesses, etc.*. If the one-digit number is followed by a measurement unit or symbol, it is written with the number (e.g. *3 cm, 8%, etc.*). Years are presented numerically, not *last year or this year*. If possible, avoid numbers with 3-4 significant digits in both texts and tables. Short word forms, e.g. *1.42 million*, should be used when large numbers are presented. A full stop should be used as opposed to a comma for indicating fractions.

2.4. **Spelling and Punctuation**

It is recommended to consult a dictionary and/or relevant spell check software when spelling foreign and seldom-used words. The consistency of spelling and punctuation system (e.g. UK English or US English) should be kept throughout the whole text.

2.5. **Citing**

Positions, arguments, factual/statistical data, incl. newspapers, the internet and information from oral presentations of other authors (incl. earlier works of the student), must be properly referenced. Every source in the references must be referenced in the body of the paper and/or
footnotes. The reference should be made indicating where the text comes from with the page number accuracy when quoting or referring to specific data, ideas or sentences. The reference to the whole article, book or chapter of the book is used when the reference is based on the whole argument of the work.

There are several possibilities of referencing and the author can choose which they prefer to use. Referencing must be constant throughout the text. The following three types of inline citation in academic texts are accepted:

- Harvard citation style (citing name/year)
- APA citation style (citing name/year)
- MHRA referencing style for footnotes

**Quotes** must be presented in their original format – wording, punctuation marks and distinctive letters. A quote's translation must be as similar to the original as possible (in meaning, not wording), if necessary, the original quote can be added to the footnote. If a quote has already been translated, the translation should be used, unless the translation is deemed inadequate or incorrect. Quotes are presented using quotation marks and the source is written right after the end of the quotation, irrespective of whether the quotation is in the middle or at the end of a sentence. If anything is left out of the original text, the omitted part should be indicated using an ellipsis in brackets: […]. If the author wishes to elaborate on parts of the quote, the explanation should be provided in brackets.

**Referencing** is conveying another author's thought or concept in free form, in one’s own words. Quotation marks are not used when referencing, but citing sources or authors is necessary. It is strongly recommended to avoid referencing an entire sub-point. If irrelevant changes are made to the original (e.g. replacing conjunctions), it is not a correct reference, but an incorrectly presented quote.

Quotations and references should not be overused (and lengthy quotes should be avoided). It is important to keep in mind that in academic papers, one’s original contribution should form a significant part of the work.

**2.6. Tables, figures, formulas and lists**

**Tables** are enumerated using Arabic numbers, either throughout the whole paper or within
certain chapters, e.g. *Table 1* or *Table 3.4*, etc., where the latter refers to the fourth table of the third chapter. This style should also be used for diagrams and formulas.

The order number (index) of a table is written before its title and separated with a full stop, e.g. *Table 1. Marginal cost*. The title is placed above the table. The content of an inline table should be exhaustively explained in the title, but the title should also be as concise as possible. The first part of the title should explain the table's contents, followed by the object's name (organisation, country, etc.) and finally the time period. If a common unit of measurement can be used for all the data in the table, then the unit should be presented after the title of the table in parentheses. If the table uses several units of measurement, they should be presented by the names of the corresponding columns or rows without parentheses, but separated from the preceding text with a comma.

The source of the table must be cited; the citation is usually placed below the table.

Tables use point size 11 and are centred horizontally. Every column of a table must have a name, even the first column. When titling columns, general words like *species, name*, etc., should be avoided, e.g. *Organisation*, not *Name of Organisation*, *Indicator*, not *Name of Indicator*, etc. Columns are generally not numbered. Enumerate columns only if necessary, namely when:

- The text references single columns;
- The table continues on the next page and the title is not repeated, only column numbers are displayed;
- The name of the column indicates how the result for this column was achieved, e.g. *Column 2 + Column 3*.

Data in tables should be linked to the text. To achieve this, the following methods are used:

- Direct referencing, e.g. *Table 3 characterises* ...
- Indirect referencing, e.g. *... (cf. Table 8)*

The table should be on the same page, or on the next page as such a reference. If the table is somewhere else, the page number should be added when referencing (e.g. *cf. Table 8, page 14*). If the table is smaller than one page, but does not fit on the page after the reference was
made, it is recommended to put the entire table on the next page after the first paragraph. If
the table is larger than one page, the table should start directly after the reference and
continue on the next page. The first page has to contain at least the title and a few rows of
the table.

All illustrations in the paper (diagrams, graphs, geographical maps, drawings, schemes and
photos) are considered figures. Figures are enumerated like tables. However, generally, the
title is placed under the figure. Every figure must have a reference in the text. A small figure
should be in an appropriate location within the text and larger figures on a separate page,
after the reference. If the author did not create the figure, a source should be cited, as with
tables. The source of a figure is usually placed right below the title of the figure.

**Formulas** are separated from the text by punctuation marks that correspond to general
language conventions of the language the paper is written in. Signs, numbers and letters
should be placed correctly in the formula and in accordance with the formula's contents.
Formulas should be created using a formula editor. The style of writing formulae should be
constant throughout the whole text. Formulas are written in italics, point size 12. One should
avoid presenting definitions in the form of a formula.

Single symbols and simple mathematical expressions are generally presented inline; they are
not placed on a separate line. Formulas do not contain units of measurement; these are stated
in the explanation of symbols. A formula's explanation of symbols begins with the word
where (without a colon) and explanations are underneath each other, for clarity.

**Example:** Formula describing a country’s total expenses

\[ Y = C + I + G + (Ex - Im) \]

where Y – total expenses of national economy

C – consumption

I – investments

G – government expenditures

Ex – export

Im – import
If a list contains only single words or short phrases, list items are written underneath each other and separated with a comma. If even one list item contains a comma, a semicolon is used as the separator. In English, list items do not require a separator. Order is indicated by ascending letters or numbers followed by a closing parenthesis.

Example:

The inputs of manufacturing processes are work, capital, land.

Or: The inputs of manufacturing processes are: 1) work, 2) capital, 3) land.

Or: The inputs of manufacturing processes are: a) work, b) capital, c) land.

If items of a list are single sentences, they may be written after each other or every sentence on a new line. Order is indicated by ascending letters or numbers followed by a closing bracket and they are separated by a semicolon.

Example:

Accessing the market is easy if:

1) the market is large enough

2) the position suits this exact company

or

Accessing the market is easy if:

a) the market is large enough

b) the position suits this exact company

If just one item in the list is comprised of two or more sentences, the closing parenthesis is replaced by an order number. If a list item contains a list, then the outer list item indicators must be numbers and the inner list item indicators letters, accompanied by a closing parenthesis.

Example:

Theory is understood as a system of definitions, assumptions and hypotheses.
Theories can be divided into the following.

1. Classifying theories that explain the realities of economics through defining concepts

2. Nomological theories are aimed at discovering the inner patterns of processes

3. Decision-making theories are concerned with certain human behaviours. These can be divided into:
   a) descriptive
   b) prescriptive

Usually, a list is enumerated when the order of list items is important, list items are referenced in the text or when list items consist of several sentences. In other cases, enumeration (numbers or letters) can be left out or can be replaced with a dash (if list items are a continuation of the preceding text) or some other indicator.

3. WRITING THE THESIS

A thesis is an independently composed research paper in accordance with this guide with a clear problem statement where scientific methods are applied to a concrete object of research. The general goals of a thesis are to investigate an issue or problem concerning the specialty in depth, to collect original empirical material or data and to analyse this in light of the corresponding conceptual or theoretical framework, providing the research results as clear and reasoned conclusions. A thesis shows the student's theoretical and/or practical knowledge and skills in using literature of the field, substantiating their arguments and expressing them in written form. A thesis is not a reference of existing research or an essay on personal observations and evaluations. A thesis could be either theoretically or practically oriented and must contain a theoretical component. It is also advisable to include an empirical component. A thesis has only one author and should present the student's independent work.

The process of writing a thesis starts with finding a supervisor. If necessary, the Institute will assist the student in finding a suitable supervisor. Students can also turn to their programme manager who can help them find relevant contacts.

The thesis' extended plan (prospectus) is a part of writing the thesis. It has a crucial role in
defining the topic, setting an aim and logical structuring of the thesis. Generally, the prospectus should be submitted in the middle of the semester preceding the defence.

The first part of both a theoretically and a practically oriented thesis should outline the theoretical approaches or previously established general causal law pertaining to the selected research problem. The subsequent parts of the thesis are dedicated to applying these theoretical approaches/causal laws for the analysis of the selected research problem. Practically oriented thesis focuses on a practical, ‘real life’ problems in a certain field.

A student who has defended a thesis:

a. can establish research questions independently and select suitable theoretical treatments and methods for their analysis;
b. can structure research logically and purposefully;
c. can independently find and use suitable empirical and theoretical sources;
d. argues and justifies their standpoints understandably and logically;
e. uses terms, data and facts correctly and knows the rules of formalising research work;
f. bases their conclusions on analysis and summarises in conformity with the line of thought of the thesis; and
g. can explain and defend the results of their research in academic discussion and answer questions related to their research.

3.1. Setting the aim and hypothesis, formulating research tasks

Exact delineation of the topic, formulation of the aim and research questions, and a logical structure are of critical importance for the quality of the thesis.

It is recommended to start writing the thesis by setting an aim and a hypothesis, after which research tasks should be formulated. The set aim and hypothesis must provide a clear picture of what this thesis wishes to prove or disprove. Often it is difficult to distinguish the aim from the hypothesis, which is why the introduction of the thesis only needs to include the aim. Formulation of research tasks helps to specify the steps, which are necessary in order to achieve the thesis' aim.

When choosing the topic and setting the aim, it is important to keep in mind that these should fall into the student's area of competence and should not be too broad. If the student has no possibility to research a particular topic or if the topic is too broad, it must be avoided. It is
suggested that a topic be selected for which sufficient professional literature is available. The planned research methods must be available to the student and within their ability to undertake.

When choosing a topic, students should make sure that they do not choose a thesis with the same topic as an already defended thesis or a thesis currently being defended.

3.2. Choice and work through literature

Finding, working through and analysing literature for the thesis is the student's independent task. When working with literature, it is recommended to keep in mind the following aspects.

When first browsing the literature, one should be aware of concepts related to the given topic. This helps the student to get an overview of which literature they should focus on when writing the paper. Literature used in the thesis should be wide-ranging, and contain different authors and sources. Referencing textbooks and popular science journals or newspaper articles should only be done in exceptional cases. The main focus must be on scientific sources, incl. newer foreign language articles. Statistical data used in the research must be supported by reliable data (e.g. European Statistics Office EUROSTAT, Estonian Statistical Office, TNS Emor, etc.). Using encyclopaedias, new literature catalogues, internet research sources and internet databases (e.g. databases of the University of Tartu Library) is recommended when choosing literature. The student should also make sure that the approaches and data they refer to are current and up to date.

It is recommended to write summaries of the literature after a thorough work through. These abstracts should contain ideas, quotes, definitions, problem development, etc related to the research problem. The author's name and other data required in the reference section and bibliographic record should definitely be added as well. This also simplifies the creating and referencing of a bibliography. Student should leave room on these papers for their own thoughts and references to related materials. Still, writing the summary is just the first step: the thesis should absolutely not consist only of loosely related report paragraphs. After working through the literature, the student should be able to present a number of arguments and the data as a whole. Citing sources is not a goal in its own, sources should be used to support one's own arguments and topic development.

3.3. Structure and formatting requirements

The parts of a thesis are the cover page, abstract, table of contents, list of abbreviations
(if applicable), **introduction, substantive content** (as chapters), **conclusions, references, appendices**, and **non-exclusive license**.

A thesis may be written in Estonian or English. Writing the thesis in any other foreign language is subject to the decision of the Institute council.

The advisable length of the BA thesis is approximately 25 pages and not more than 40 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, references.

The length of the MA thesis is generally\(^1\) 20,000-25,000 words (approx. 50-60 pages), but not more than 30,000 words, excluding cover page, abstract, table of content, references, and appendices.

When structuring the thesis, students should pay attention to balance: there should not be too much division/partition, neither too little. In addition to the introduction and summary, based on the required volume of the thesis, there should be 2-4 additional chapters. The chapters should be balanced in content as well as volume. It is recommended that the structure should have no more than three levels (e.g. 1.1.1 and 2.2.2). Additional levels cause confusion, making the content harder to grasp, it is substantiated only in exceptional cases. The introduction and summary are usually approximately 5-10% of the thesis, each.

The thesis' chapters begin on a new page; subchapters do not need to begin on a new page. A title should never be the last line on a page, there should be at least two lines of content under it. Titles do not contain periods.

All pages of the paper should have numbers, even pages that contain tables and figures. The cover page, table of contents and the first page of the introduction are also enumerated, but the numbers are not shown. Page numbers are shown from the second page of the introduction.

### 3.3.1. Cover page

The **thesis cover page** (see Appendix 2) has to state the following:

- The name of the university and appropriate division (faculty and the Institute);

---

\(^{1}\) Including 15 ECTS Master’s thesis. Cf. respective curriculum study guides.
• A reference as to the nature of the paper (BA thesis, MA thesis);

• The author’s name(s);

• The title of the thesis;

• The supervisor’s name and degree (e.g. supervisor: Prof. Raul Eamets);

• The place of compilation (Tartu) and the year.

3.3.2. Table of contents

In the table of contents, list all sections of the thesis that will be presented in accordance with the chapter/section title and page numbers. Only the sections of the main text will be enumerated (Arabic numbers, e.g. 1; 1.2; 2.3.1, etc.).

3.3.3. List of abbreviations

If there is an abundance of abbreviations, it is recommended to add a complete list of the abbreviations’ full definitions.

3.3.4. Introduction

The aim of the introduction is to introduce the topic and thesis as a whole to the reader. Therefore, the introduction needs to substantiate the relevance of the topic and the topicality of the problem. The introduction should clearly present the aim of the thesis (and if possible, hypothesis), the means of accomplishing it and the research tasks.

The thesis introduction must clearly outline the following:

• An explanation for choosing the topic. The best way to carry this out is to present one’s own substantive argumentation, avoiding third party opinion. The author should answer the following questions: Why is it important to study the given problem? Why it is relevant? How does this thesis differ from previous studies done on the subject? While explaining topic choice, it is important to avoid emphasising personal motives, e.g. I decided to choose this topic because it is connected to my daily work assignments ...

• The specific aim of the thesis. What does the student want to prove or disprove,
which problem(s) will be solved, which question(s) will be answered? The aim of the thesis must be precisely defined. Thorough research in a narrow field is usually more convincing and effective compared to vague discussion on a vast or unclearly determined problem. It is important to keep in mind that the research expected usually serves only one clear purpose, therefore it is sufficient to present only one hypothesis.

- **An assessment of the research tasks necessary for reaching the aim.** What are the tasks necessary to reach the goal set in the thesis? Clear assessment of the research tasks allows for the definition of the thesis objective and topic. This also helps to develop the overall structure of the thesis.

- **An explanation of the thesis structure.** This should include arranging the thesis into chapters and/or sections. This is very important both for following the thesis and for presenting a general impression. Correct structure also provides a good overview of the extent to which the author is familiar with the topic. The introduction has to contain a short summary of the structure: how many parts there are, what the aim of each section or chapter is, and how each part links to the next.

- **A short introduction of the data and methodology.** This should clearly state what methods have been used. What kind of empirical data has been used to ground the thesis argument? Where does this data originate from? It is important to keep in mind that so-called ideal data can be difficult to find. The problems related to the data (lack of representability, reliability, access, etc.) have to be presented clearly. This should include an explanation as to why this data has been used in spite of problems and how these problems have affected the thesis conclusions. If a certain statistical programme is used to analyse data, it should be stated in the introduction.

- **Overview of references.**

It must be kept in mind that the introduction does not carry out set research tasks or solve set problems, it should not contain too many facts and numbers. Moreover, in the introduction, it is appropriate to acknowledge the people and institutions that have been of help during the research process.
3.3.5. **Content**

The main analytical body of the thesis deals with solving the problems mentioned in the introduction. Overall, it is recommended to move from the general (e.g. theoretical starting position, research methodology, etc.) to the specific empirical analysis. The proportion of theoretical analysis relative to empirical analysis depends on the topic and research aims.

When carrying out empirical analysis, the student can use both qualitative and quantitative methods depending on the research field, the thesis aim and the types of data. Although it is not necessary to outline a detailed description of generally accepted research methods used in the thesis, it is strongly recommended to mention the method(s) used when presenting the interpretation of results. The strengths and weaknesses of the selected method(s) should be briefly discussed and the selection of the method(s) should be justified. When using quantitative methods, it is recommended to clearly refer to the data processing programme (or packages). The student must only use the data that is relevant to the specific problem. It is advised to leave out information that might be interesting, but does not help to execute the study’s research tasks or aims.

Each thesis must clearly demonstrate the **student’s personal contribution** when dealing with the research problem. This can be demonstrated by involving and comparing contrasting opinions from different authors along with independent standpoints and evaluation, by presenting conclusions or practical recommendations based on the materials, or by evaluating a certain theory or model’s usability within specific conditions or within specific data sets. It is crucial for the student to not only present one or several authors’ standpoints along with their criticism or praise, but also to assess the empirical evidence presented to confirm or reject the claims and bring out other potential arguments/theoretical viewpoints. These can originate from either the arguments of other authors or the student’s own criticisms or objections.

3.3.6. **Summary**

For the thesis abstract, there needs to be a demonstration of how the set goals and aims were reached and what kind of solutions the author found for the set research problem(s). Moreover, the **author’s independent contribution** needs to be clearly outlined in relation to the problem(s) dealt with in the thesis.

The main aims of a thesis summary are as follows:
1) To concisely present the main results, conclusions, evaluations, suggestions, etc., reached in the main body of the thesis;

2) To outline possible ways in which to use and develop the outlined conclusions and suggestions, and also possible problems needing to be solved in the future.

In the summary, it is not necessarily important to draw any extensive conclusions, if the presented data does not allow it. The author should not introduce new issues, present possible new standpoints or conclusions regarding the questions not dealt with in the main body of analysis presented in the thesis. Generally, it is not recommended to refer to sources or present other authors’ standpoints in the thesis conclusion.

3.3.7. List of sources

The list of sources only concerns sources used and referred to when researching and writing the thesis. Sources need to be listed alphabetically, using the publication’s lead author’s last name. If the author(s) name(s) are missing, referencing should be done in accordance with the publishing organisation or the first word of the title, consistently. In the case of several authors, the names should be listed in the same way as they appear on the publication’s cover page. Latin sources should be presented first (both in Estonian and in other languages), Cyrillic sources second. The reference list can be numbered if necessary.

Use of sources of low academic level (e.g. secondary school text books) is strongly discouraged, with the exception that these are the study’s research object.

3.3.8. Appendices

Materials with extensive numerical data and other materials necessary for solving the research problem, yet not necessarily presented in the text should be presented as appendixes. Appendixes should be numbered, numbering should be displayed in the top right-hand corner, e.g. Appendix 7. If the appendix extends beyond one page, the next page(s) should say Appendix 7 (continued). Students are required to reference appendices.

Appendices should be numbered according to their occurrence in the main text. Presenting appendixes displaying only weak links to the text is strongly discouraged.
3.3.9. Non-exclusive license

A non-exclusive licence (Appendix 3) to reproduce the thesis and make the thesis public should be presented within the manuscript, either at the end of the thesis or after the cover page. If a fixed-term restriction has been imposed on the publication of a graduation thesis by an order of the Dean of the Faculty of Social Sciences, the thesis will be published after the expiry of this restriction (Appendix 4).\(^2\)

3.4. Submission of the thesis

Pursuant to the Guidelines for submission and defence of the final thesis, adopted by the Institute, the thesis is submitted electronically (in pdf-format) and to the Academic Affair Specialist along with the supervisor’s approval that the thesis is ready for consideration. The confirmation can be sent electronically to Academic Affair Specialist’s email.

By submitting the thesis, the student acknowledges that they have written the thesis independently and that the other authors’ viewpoint and data used in the thesis have been referenced as required.

The thesis is submitted **not later than two weeks before the date of the defence**.

The defence generally takes place during the autumn semester (end of December or beginning of January) and in the spring semester (end of May or beginning of June). The exceptions will be decided by the programme manager together with the Deputy Head for Academic Affairs.

3.5. Assessment

All theses at the Institute should be defended in front of the defence committee. The following criteria are considered during assessment:

- topicality of the research;
- established goal(s) and clarity of the research tasks (if completing these can lead to an established goal);

---

\(^2\) Cf. Rector of the University of Tartu Decree No 30 of 5 November 2012, “Procedure for publication of graduation theses and dissertations”, chapter II.
• thesis structure (coherence, balance, consistency, etc.), conformity of different parts of the thesis (title vs content; method vs goal; summary vs body etc. and compliance with the Guidelines for writing and formatting papers);
• choice of research method(s) and the application of the chosen method(s);
• the choice of a method of analysis (in an empirical thesis), application of the chosen method;
• hypotheses are logically derived from the theoretical framework, and are tested with adequate research and analysis; conclusions are derived based on both theory and analysis;
• level of analysis and comprehensiveness of material (clarity, relevance, thoroughness, correctness and logic of analysis, validity of conclusions, material combination skills, adequateness and sufficiency of material etc.);
• sufficiency, relevance and level of literature (e.g. relevant and recent articles from recognised international journals or simply some journal articles); how well is the author able to orientate in the literature and within different approaches;
• new knowledge established through research and the adequate representation of it (in a theoretical thesis or in the theoretical section – what is the original contribution of the author).
• linguistic correctness (grammar, orthography, terminology, style) and formatting;
• length (must be substantively justified);
• how well is the student able to present and defend their research in a public defence.

The Master thesis is assessed according to the assessment criteria, adopted by the Institute (cf Appendix 5). The final grade will consist of the following components: research design and theory (30%), analysis (30%), structure of the thesis (20%), technical requirements (20%).
4. Appendices

Appendix 1

4.1. Example of a written paper's cover page

UNIVERSITY OF TARTU
Faculty of Social Sciences
Johan Skytte Institute of Political Studies

Essay / Research paper

Name of the author

TITLE OF THE PAPER

Lecturer / instructor: Mihkel Solvak, PhD

Course: SORG.00.362 Quantitative research methods

Tartu 2016
Appendix 2

4.2. Example of an MA thesis' cover page

UNIVERSITY OF TARTU
Faculty of Social Sciences
Johan Skytte Institute of Political Studies

Name of the author

TITLE OF THE THESIS

MA thesis

Supervisor: Mihkel Solvak, PhD

Co-supervisor: Kadi Siil, MA (if applicable)

Tartu 2016
4.3. Non-exclusive licence to reproduce thesis and make thesis public

I, _____________________________

(author’s name)

(personal identification code: _____________________________)

herewith grant the University of Tartu a free permit (non-exclusive licence) to

1. reproduce, for the purpose of preservation, including for adding to the DSpace digital archives until the expiry of the term of copyright,
2. make available to the public via the web environment of the University of Tartu, including via the DSpace digital archives, until the expiry of the term of copyright, my thesis

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

(title of thesis)

supervised by __________________________________________________.

(supervisor’s name)

3. I am aware of the fact that the author retains the rights specified in p. 1.
4. I certify that granting the non-exclusive licence does not infringe other persons’ intellectual property rights or rights arising from the personal data protection legislation.

Done at Tartu/Tallinn/Narva/Pärnu/Viljandi on ___________________ (date)

_________________________________________ (signature)
4.4. Non-exclusive (restricted) licence to reproduce thesis and make thesis public

I, ________________________________________________________________

(author’s name)

(personal identification code: __________________________________________)

herewith grant the University of Tartu a free permit (non-exclusive licence) to

1. reproduce, for the purpose of preservation, including for adding to the DSpace digital archives until the expiry of the term of copyright,

2. make available to the public via the web environment of the University of Tartu, including via the DSpace digital archives, starting from DD.MM.YYYY until the expiry of the term of copyright,

my thesis

__________________________________________

__________________________________________

__________________________________________

(title of thesis)

supervised by ____________________________________________________________.

(supervisor’s name)

3. I am aware of the fact that the author retains the rights specified.

4. I certify that granting the non-exclusive licence does not infringe other persons’ intellectual property rights or rights arising from the personal data protection legislation.

Done at Tartu/Tallinn/ Narva/Pärnu/Viljandi on ______________ (date)

__________________________________________ (signature)
### Appendix 5

#### 4.5. MA thesis assessment criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment criteria/grade</th>
<th>F – fail</th>
<th>E – poor</th>
<th>D – satisfactory</th>
<th>C – good</th>
<th>B – very good</th>
<th>A – excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research design and theory (30%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) The Research question(s) (RQ) and research puzzle (RP)</td>
<td>- RP is missing or is very vaguely defined; - RQs do not address the RP and/or solve it; - RQs vaguely defined, providing little or no scope and insufficient focus; - RQs not explicitly related to each other and/or with the following research; - RQs are not or are insufficiently answered</td>
<td>- RP defined on basic level; - RQs only superficially solve the RP; - RQs stated in basic form, at a general level, defining a broad scope of study; - RQs vaguely related to each other and/or with the research which follows; - basic answers broadly related to RQs</td>
<td>- RP defined in general terms; - RQs solve the RP in general terms; - RQs stated in basic but sufficient form, defining an adequate scope of study; - RQs related to each other and/or with the research which follows; - satisfactory answers related to RQs in general terms</td>
<td>- RP defined and argued well; - RQs address well and solve the RP; - RQs clearly defined, providing for an appropriate focus for study; - RQs well related to each other and/or with the following research; - well elaborated answers related to RQs in general terms</td>
<td>- RP defined and argued very well; - RQs address and solve the RP very well; - RQs very clear and precise, giving well-adjusted focus; - RQs well related and form backbone of the research; - well-argued answers consistent with RQs</td>
<td>- RP defined thoroughly in an excellent manner; - RQs address and solve the RP in an excellent manner; - RQs very clear and precise, providing the study with excellent focus; - RQs well related and form the basis of the research; - precise and elaborate answers consistent with the research questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Theoretical literature</td>
<td>- lack of understanding of key theoretical literature</td>
<td>- basic understanding of key theoretical literature</td>
<td>- satisfactory general understanding of key theoretical literature</td>
<td>- good understanding of theoretical literature</td>
<td>- very good understanding of theoretical literature</td>
<td>- very thorough understanding of theoretical literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Theoretical argument</td>
<td>- missing</td>
<td>- very general; - based on minimal literature;</td>
<td>- exists; - based on satisfactory usage of literature</td>
<td>- exists; - based on a good literature review and well integrated with existing literature</td>
<td>- exists as central element of the study; - strongly integrated with existing literature; - independent argument</td>
<td>- exists as central element of the study; - strongly integrated with existing literature; - strong academic contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Conceptualization and operationalization</td>
<td>- poor and vague conceptualization based on insufficient literature; - lack of explicit operationalization</td>
<td>- basic conceptualization based on minimal literature; - very general operationalization</td>
<td>- key concepts defined; - operationalization suitable in general terms</td>
<td>- well defined key concepts; - clear operationalization</td>
<td>- conceptualization based on extensive literature; - precise operationalization</td>
<td>- conceptualization based on extensive literature; - precise and thorough operationalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Research method</td>
<td>- lack of explicit research method; - inappropriate method</td>
<td>- suitable method(s) but described in very general terms</td>
<td>- suitable method(s); - described in general terms; - minimal justification</td>
<td>- suitable method(s); - clearly described; - well justified</td>
<td>- suitable method(s); - extensively described; - very well justified</td>
<td>- suitable, independently tailored method(s); - discussed in detail - thoroughly justified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Application of theoretical framework</td>
<td>- theory separate from the analysis; - research method does not match with theoretical assumptions; - research methods not properly applied</td>
<td>- related to the analysis in very general terms</td>
<td>- mechanically applied and related to the analysis mainly formally; - research method applied with some minor shortcomings</td>
<td>- suitable framework but study is mainly empirical; - research methods applied with few minor shortcomings</td>
<td>- well integrated theory that supports the central argument; - research methods applied with few minor shortcomings</td>
<td>- thoroughly integrated theory which strongly supports the central argument; - research methods applied with very few minor shortcomings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Empirical analysis (30%)**

<p>| a) Usage of data | - insufficiently used; - and/or usage does not correspond to the research focus | - used on a minimal level; - data supports answering of the research questions only in general terms | - used satisfactorily; - data supports answering of the research questions with some limitations | - well used; - data supports answering of the main research questions well | - very efficiently used; - data supports answering of the main research questions very well | - very efficiently used; - precise data used to answer the main research questions thoroughly |
| b) Collection of data | - obtained in an inappropriate way | - a few major issues regarding data collection | - properly collected in sufficient quantity | - properly collected in appropriate quantity | - precisely collected in line with quantity required to answer research questions very well | - very precisely collected in quantity required to answer research questions thoroughly |
| c) Conclusions of analysis | - biased conclusions, not corresponding to reality | - bias exists to some extent in the conclusions; - deviation from existing knowledge without proper explanations | - data enables the drawing of only general conclusions; - conclusions applicable only for limited cases | - data enables the drawing of solid conclusions - conclusions applicable for general knowledge | - very well based on data - conclusions applicable for general knowledge and certain cases | - thoroughly discussed and well-argued based on data - conclusions applicable for general knowledge as well as precise cases |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>d) Critical approach towards sources and own limitations</strong></th>
<th>- missing critical approach</th>
<th>- some bias in approach; - minimal level of critical approaches to the sources</th>
<th>- some shortcomings to acknowledge own limitations; - satisfactory critical approach</th>
<th>- own limitations acknowledged properly; - solid critical approach</th>
<th>- own limitations acknowledged properly; - well-developed solutions to overcome them; - strong critical approach</th>
<th>- acceptable limitations acknowledged; - well-developed solutions to overcome them; - comprehensive critical approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>e) Argumentation</strong></td>
<td>- weak; - insufficiently supported with empirical findings</td>
<td>- basic level; - empirical findings barely support it</td>
<td>- supported by data sufficiently; - final conclusions partly unconvincing</td>
<td>- well supported with data; - few shortcomings in argumentation</td>
<td>- balanced argumentation based on collected data</td>
<td>- very thorough and balanced argumentation based on collected data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>f) New knowledge</strong></td>
<td>- no new findings, repeats earlier studies</td>
<td>- some trivial new conclusions</td>
<td>- some new knowledge but it is applicable only to limited cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure of the thesis (20%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a) Logic of the research</strong></td>
<td>- logical errors; - contradictions in text</td>
<td>- few illogical conclusions; - tiny contradictions</td>
<td>- logically coherent work</td>
<td>- logically coherent work</td>
<td>- very logically coherent work</td>
<td>- very logically coherent work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b) Coherence of the research</strong></td>
<td>- incoherent structure</td>
<td>- barely coherent</td>
<td>- some issues regarding coherence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c) Logic and coherence of argumentation</strong></td>
<td>- inconsistent and incoherent</td>
<td>- some shortcomings</td>
<td>- logical but a few issues with coherence</td>
<td>- logical and coherent</td>
<td>- strong logically and very coherent</td>
<td>- strong logically and very coherent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technical requirements (20%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a) Language usage, spelling</strong></td>
<td>- major issues in grammar; - improper usage of language that disturbs understanding; - many spelling mistakes, typos</td>
<td>- few major issues in grammar; - some improper usage of words but text is understandable; - some spelling mistakes, typos</td>
<td>- some minor issues in grammar; - some improper usage of words but text is understandable; - some spelling mistakes, typos</td>
<td>- grammatically correct language; - few issues regarding the usage of words but text is understandable; - some spelling mistakes, typos</td>
<td>- grammatically correct language; - proper usage of words; - few spelling mistakes, typos</td>
<td>- grammatically correct language; - proper usage of words; - very few spelling mistakes, typos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### b) Length and other technical requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- general technical requirements not fulfilled;</td>
<td>- text is within range of length requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- length is significantly (3,000 words) below or exceeds the limit</td>
<td>- text is within range of length requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- general technical requirements are only superficially fulfilled;</td>
<td>- text is within range of length requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- length is marginally (500 words) below or exceeds the limit</td>
<td>- text is within range of length requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- general technical requirements are mainly fulfilled with minor shortcomings not affecting the substance;</td>
<td>- text is within range of length requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- text is within range of length requirements</td>
<td>- text is within range of length requirements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### c) Citations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- incoherent citation system;</td>
<td>- coherent citation system;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- list of references is not in alphabetical order;</td>
<td>- a list of references is in alphabetical order;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- several missing sources in the list of references</td>
<td>- very few missing sources in the list of references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- some incoherence in the citation system;</td>
<td>- no missing sources in the list of references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- list of references is not in alphabetical order;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- some missing sources in the list of references</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- coherent citation system;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- list of references is not in alphabetical order;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- a few missing sources in the list of references</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- a list of references is in alphabetical order;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- a few missing sources in the list of references</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE DEFENCE OF THESES ONLINE AT THE JOHAN SKYTTE INSTITUTE OF POLITICAL STUDIES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TARTU

This guide contains the rules and recommendations for the students of the Johan Skytte Institute of Political Studies of the University of Tartu (hereafter, the Institute) for the defence of the thesis online. The Guide is complementary to the requirements for final theses, forming of the defence committee, appointment of a reviewer, and for the defence and second defence of the Institute, that the councils of the Institute and of the Faculty approve by the beginning of the academic year. The goal of the guide is to give guidelines and an overview of the rules of procedure online or for the participation in online study and learning, including for the defence of theses. In case of an online defence, the student takes care of the presence of the technical equipment necessary for the defence (computer with Internet connection, camera, microphone, earphones or speakers). If this is not possible, the student calls upon the Academic Affairs Specialist, who will find a suitable solution in the premises of the University.
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General regulations for the defence of theses online

1. In online environment, the defence takes place as a video streaming and is equal to the defence in person. In online environment, the same requirements and expectations apply as for the defence in person, prescribed in the requirements for final theses, forming of the defence committee, appointment of a reviewer, and for the defence and second defence of the Johan Skytte Institute of Political Studies, including for the language use and the procedure of the defence.

2. In case of an open defence, similarly to the auditory defence, all who wish can observe the defence as a guest in the online environment. In case of a closed defence, prescribed restrictions apply (similarly to the in-person defence).

3. On the BA and MA level, the defences of the theses are generally not recorded; unauthorized recording is not permitted.
   
   3.1. The defence committee has the right to record the defence, informing all parties about it. The defender and the members of the defence committee need to give a written consent for that (cf Appendix 1 An informed consent for the conduction and recording of a defence of a thesis online).
   
   3.2. The chairman of the defence committee or another person designated by the head of the Institute is responsible for the recording and the following processing of the recording.
   
   3.3. For the recording of the defence, forwarding or making public of the recording, a consent from the student has to be asked. The responsibility of proving the existence of the consent of the student lies on the person who demands the consent or the person who will process (watch, forward, make public) the recording. For the recording, the data protection policy of UT applies; https://www.ut.ee/en/data-protection-policy.
   
   3.4. The holder of the recording is UT and the recording is preserved in recording facilities controlled by UT. The recording is preserved until the end of the current academic year, unless otherwise agreed.

4. In case of need, the defence committee has the right to:
   
   4.1. ask the defender to be identified;
   
   4.2. stop the defence in case of technical failures.

5. The procedure of defence, including the procedure of asking questions is prescribed by the chairman of the defence committee or a moderator designated by the chairman.
Appendix 1 - An informed consent for the conduction and recording of a defence of a thesis online

Defending my thesis in the online environment of the Johan Skytte Institute of Political Studies, I am aware of the following:

1. In the online environment, the defence takes place as a video streaming and is equal to the defence in person. It means that:
   a. the same requirements and expectations apply as for the defence in person, prescribed in the requirements for final theses, including for the language use and the procedure of the defence;
   b. In case of an open defence, similarly to the auditory defence, all who wish can observe the defence as a guest in the online environment. The University will inform the guests that recording the defence is not allowed for the guest; the procedure of asking questions is prescribed by the chairman of the defence committee;
   c. In case of a closed defence, prescribed restrictions apply (similarly to the in-person defence).

2. In case of need, the defence committee has the right to:
   a. ask the defender to be identified;
   b. stop the defence in case of technical failures.

3. An employee of the University of Tartu (hereafter UT) may record the defence online, provided the consent of the defender, taking into account that data protection policy of UT applies to the recording; https://www.ut.ee/en/data-protection-policy.

The holder of the recording will be UT and the recording will be preserved in recording facilities controlled by UT. The recording will be kept until the end of the academic year 2019/2020, unless otherwise agreed.

4. The university can only share the recording with the defender of the thesis.

☐ I confirm that I have read the terms and conditions of the defence of the theses online above, and I agree with recording the defence.

☐ I confirm that I have read the terms and conditions of the defence of the theses online above, and I do not agree with recording the defence.